1 2 3 4 5 6	Laura L. Ho (SBN 173179) lho@gbdhlegal.com James P. Kan (SBN 240749) jkan@gbdhlegal.com Byron Goldstein (SBN 289306) brgoldstein@gbdhlegal.com GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO 300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1000 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 763-9800 Fax: (510) 835-1417	
7 8 9 10	David Browne (SBN 261345) david@brownelaborlaw.com BROWNE LABOR LAW 475 Washington Blvd. Marina del Rey, CA 90292 Tel: (310) 421-4810 Fax: (310) 421-4833	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Putative Class	
12 13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF SA	N FRANCISCO
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MIWANDA BARNES and ALLISON CAMILLE, individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. SPRIG, INC., and DOES 1-20, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No.: CGC-15-548154 ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, SERVICE AWARDS TO CLASS REPRESENTATIVES, ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION COSTS Date: December 20, 2016 Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept: 302 Trial Date: None Set
242526		
27		

The Court, having considered Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Approval of Attorneys' Fees and Costs and Class Representatives' Service Awards in the above-captioned action, having read and considered all of the papers of the parties and their counsel, having granted preliminary approval on July 22, 2016 and directed that notice be given to all Class Members of preliminary approval of the Joint Stipulation and Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), the time and location of the final approval hearing, and the right to be excluded from the settlement, having received no objections or opposition to the settlement, receiving no opposition to the tentative ruling granting the motions on December 19, 2016, and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS:

- 1. The settlement of the above-mentioned class action as embodied in the Settlement Agreement is fully and finally approved. The Settlement Agreement is hereby incorporated by reference. Except as otherwise specified herein and for purposes of this Order Granting Judgment Upon Final Approval Of Class Action Settlement ("Final Approval Order"), the terms used in this Order have the meaning assigned to them in the Settlement Agreement, Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Fairness Hearing ("Class Notice"), and the Motions for Preliminary and Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement.
- 2. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(d), this Court makes final the conditional class certification contained in the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and thus certifies a class defined as: All persons who worked as Sprig Servers in California at any time from September 1, 2013 through December 31, 2015, except for any individuals who opted out pursuant to the instructions in the Class Notice.
- 3. Named Plaintiffs are hereby appointed and designated, for all purposes, as the representatives for the Class, and the law firms of Goldstein, Borgen, Dardarian & Ho and Browne Labor Law are hereby appointed and designated as counsel for the Named Plaintiffs and the Class. The Court finds that attorneys for the Class are experienced class action litigators and have expressed the view that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, which further supports the Settlement.
- 4. The Court hereby finds that the Class Notice and all related documents have been mailed to all Class Members as previously ordered by the Court, and that such Class Notice fairly and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Members could object to or participate in the settlement, and the manner in which Class Members could opt out of the Class; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with Civil Code § 1781(e), Rule of Court 3.769, due process, and all other applicable laws. The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded to Class Members to participate in the proceedings convened to determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement should be given final approval. Accordingly, the Court hereby determines that all Class Members who did not file a timely and proper request to be excluded from the settlement are bound by this final Order. The Court further accepts as timely and valid the requests for exclusion by Marlon Soyangco and Ibtisam Mogannam. 5. The Court deems Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, filed on July 8, 2016, as the

adequately described the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the manner in which Class

- operative complaint in this action.
- 6. The Court finds that the Class Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class Members. The Settlement Agreement is the product of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations, has no obvious deficiencies, does not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individuals, and was entered into in good faith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 877.6.
- 7. Accordingly, the Court hereby finally and unconditionally approves the Settlement Agreement, and specifically:
- a. Approves the Total Settlement Sum of \$1,050,000. This amount is the full amount that Defendant is required to pay under this Settlement Agreement, with the exception of any applicable employer's share of payroll taxes, if any;
- b. Approves the application for a Class Representative service award of \$5,000 to each Named Plaintiff;
- c. Approves Class Counsel's attorneys' fee request in the amount of \$350,000 because Class Counsel's request falls within the range of reasonableness and the result achieved justifies the requested attorneys' fees. The court further finds that Class Counsel's 2016 hourly rates are reasonable and commensurate with the prevailing rates for wage and hour class actions;

- d. Approves Class Counsel's request for reimbursement of litigation expenses of \$10,000;
- e. Approves payment to Settlement Services, Inc., the Settlement Administrator, of up to \$31,750 as costs and expenses of settlement administration;
- f. Approves a PAGA allocation of 10% of the Total Settlement Fund after deducting service awards, the Class Representatives' individual settlements, attorneys' fees and costs, and the settlement administration costs;
- g. Approves Bay Area Legal Aid as the *cy pres* recipient for any remaining balance of uncashed Settlement checks;
- h. Approves the payment from the Net Settlement Fund of amounts determined by the Settlement Administrator to be due to Class Members, as specified in the Settlement Agreement.
 - 8. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule for further proceedings:

Within fifteen (15) days of Settlement Effective Date (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) but no earlier than January 1, 2017.	Settlement Administrator shall issue settlement checks from Defendant's First Payment to the Settlement Fund to Participating Class Members, Class Representatives, Class Counsel, the Settlement Administrator, and the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency.
By December 8, 2017.	Defendant shall transmit the Second Payment to the Settlement Fund (totaling \$480,000) to the Settlement Administrator.
Prior to sending out checks from the Second Payment to the Settlement Fund.	Settlement Administrator to update the addresses for the Class Members using the national Change of Address database and other available resources deemed suitable by the Settlement Administrator. To the extent this process yields an Updated Address, that Updated Address shall replace the Last Known Address and be treated as the new Last Known Address for purposes of this Settlement and for subsequent mailings in particular.
Within fifteen (15) days after the Second Payment to the Settlement Fund is received by the Settlement Administrator.	Settlement checks shall be issued by mail to Participating Class Members, Class Counsel, Class Representatives, the Settlement Administrator, and the LWDA pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.
90 days after mailing of Settlement Checks	Any uncashed checks become void and Settlement Administrator to stop payment.

Within three (3) weeks after the Settlement
Administrator has issued its last stop payment on
uncashed settlement checks

Settlement Administrator to pay any residual, to court-approved *cy pres* beneficiary.

- 9. The Settlement Class Members, including the Class Representatives, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, dismissed with prejudice, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims. Released Claims means any and all claims, causes of action or demands against the Released Parties during the Class Period that were asserted in this Action or could have been asserted based on the facts alleged in the Complaint, including (a) claims involving unpaid training, withheld tips, and delivery charges, (b) overtime claims based on facts alleged in the complaint, (c) missed meal periods and rest breaks, (d) unpaid minimum wages and (e) penalties resulting from the Labor Code and PAGA violations alleged in the Action, including Labor Code §§ 203, 558 and 226.7.
- 10. The Class Representatives shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, dismissed with prejudice, relinquished and discharged all known and unknown ICRAA claims against the Released Parties. The Class Representatives have also provided a general release of all claims they might have against the Released Parties based on or arising from their employment with Defendant. The Class Representatives waive all rights and benefits afforded by California Civil Code section 1542 and do so understanding the significance of that waiver. Section 1542 provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

The Class Representatives' general release includes all claims that were asserted in this action, including claims the Class Representatives do not know or suspect to exist in their favor against Defendant.

11. Without affecting the finality of this Order, the Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the litigation for purposes of supervising, implementing, interpreting, and enforcing this Order and the Settlement Agreement.

12. The Parties are hereby ordered to implement and comply with the terms of the Settlement. Notice of entry of this Order and the ensuing final judgment shall be given to Class Counsel on behalf of Named Plaintiff and all Participating Class Members. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Order or the ensuing final judgment to Class Members.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2016

<u>/s/</u>

HON. RICHARD ULMER JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT